Antibacterial Effects of Compound Bifilact on *E.coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni*

Hamidreza Ebrahimi nezhad(PhD Candidate)

Student of pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutics, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Leila Barzegar (MSc) Department of Microbiology and Applied Microbiology Research Center, Systems Biology and Poisonings Institute, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Davoud Esmaeili (PhD) Applied Virology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, and Department of Microbiology and Applied Microbiology Research Center, Systems Biology and Poisonings Institute, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author: Davoud Esmaeili

Tel: +989374202095

Email: esm114@gmail.com

Address: Department of Microbiology and Applied Microbiology Research Center, Systems Biology and Poisonings Institute and Department of Microbiology, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Received: 22 Mar 2019 Revised: 08 Jul 2019 Accepted: 16 Jul 2019

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons Attribution 4.0 License.</u>

ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Probiotics are live microorganisms that function through various mechanisms and affect the alteration of the commensal microbiota against pathogens. Nowadays, given the problems associated with antibiotics use, probiotic strains offer a novel and appropriate alternative for the treatment of diseases such as diarrhea. The aim of this study was to investigate the antibacterial synergism of *Lactobacillus spp.*, *Bifidobacterium spp.* and *Escherichia coli* strain Nissle 1917 (ECN) on the clinical sample of diarrheagenic *E.coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni*.

Methods: A paper disk-diffusion technique was used to evaluate the antibacterial activity. Sterile 6 mm paper disks were saturated with probiotic suspensions made by settling probiotic medications into distilled water. Three kinds of disk were prepared. One disk was prepared for *Lactobacillus spp.* and *Bifidobacterium spp.*, another for ECN, and the third was made by combined probiotics. Clinical samples of diarrheagenic *E.coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni* were cultivated on Muller Hinton agars, and disks were placed on the inoculated Muller Hinton agars. All plates were incubated under microaerophilic and appropriate conditions.

Results: The zone of inhibition (Z01) of the bacterial growth was measured. All pathogenic microorganisms showed sensitivity to the probiotic disks. The combined disks had better effects against pathogens compared with single disks.

Conclusion: A considerable synergistic effect was observed in the results of combined probiotics; therefore, combined strains can be more efficient against intestinal pathogens in comparison with single probiotics.

Keywords: Probiotic, Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Escherichia coli Nissle, Diarrhea, Campylobacter jejun.i.

This paper should be cited as: Ebrahimi nezhad H, Barzegar L, Esmaeili D [Antibacterial Effects of Compound Bifilact on *E.coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni*]. mljgoums. 2020; 14(1): 15-19

INTRODUCTION

Probiotics are live microorganisms typically of human origins. They help prevent and treat certain diseases such as diarrhea. Probiotics are generally preferred for their low and favorable antimicrobial cost properties. These bacteria are able to control pathogenic organisms and their pathogenicity. Lactic-acid-producing bacteria are among the promising probiotics in the medical and industrial community. With the establishment of the gastrointestinal tract, these probiotics occupy the positions of the pathogenic bacteria and prevent the binding of pathogens to the receptors. Probiotics further generate antimicrobial and bactericidal factors such as lantibiotics and cyclic antimicrobial peptides.

They act through different mechanisms, affecting the commensal microbiota and potentially improving and maintaining gut microecology against pathogens (1, 2). Probiotics are the most efficient microbiota against pathogens because they consume required by pathogens [3], The nutrients substances which have lower the pH [4], produce bacteriocins (5), create an anaerobic environment by scavenging oxygen (6), inhibit epithelial and mucosal adherence (7), and stimulate immunity [8]. Nowadays, antibiotic resistance. antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD), and its detrimental effects on normal microbial flora and allergic reactions to antibiotics have necessitated researchers finding a better substitute for antibiotics and restricting antibiotic consumption to certain conditions. Probiotic utilization, with its multiple benefits, is an effective remedy for this problem (7,8). Moreover, the examined prophylactic role of probiotics has shown that many strains of probiotics have a great potential for prophylaxis and treatment of a range of gastrointestinal disorders (9). Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium spp. are the most common probiotics. Furthermore, а human commensal biotype of E. coli is E.coli strain Nissle 1917 (ECN) utilized in some European countries as a therapeutic and preventative food or drug in the treatment of diarrhea [1, 3]. Latest statistics have revealed an extensive rise in the incidence of diarrheagenic E.coli (DEC) and Campylobacteriosis in both developed and developing countries (10, 11). DEC strains have been isolated in more than half of the children with diarrhea and these pathogenic

microorganisms are among the main causes of diarrhea, particularly in developing countries (12). *Campylobacter jejuni* infection is widely reported in industrialized countries, and it is bound to challenge global health in the future (10). About 85% of children suffering from diarrhea had a Campylobacter positive stool sample (14). The objective of this study was to investigate the antibacterial synergism of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium spp.* and *E.coli* Nissle 1917 on pathogenic *E.coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

(Lactobacillus Lactobacillus species casei, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Lactobacillus acidophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus), Bifidobacterium species (Bifidobacterium breve and Bifidobacterium longum) and Escherichia coli strain Nissle 1917 (ECN) were employed as probiotics in the present research . E.coli Nissle 1917 was isolated from Mutaflor (a probiotic medication), and Lactobacillus spp and Bifidobacterium spp. were provided by Fami-Lact capsules. Each capsule contained specific bacteria ideal for use in this test. The suitable concentration of probiotics was determined through settling capsules into distilled water and diluting them to a 1×10^6 CFU/ml concentration. To prepare 0.5 McFarland $(1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/ml})$ stocks, a suspension obtaining the fresh and pure culture of the pathogenic microorganisms (clinical Campylobacter sample of jejuni and diarrheagenic E.coli) was used. Pathogenic E.coli and Campylobacter jejuni were cultured on Muller Hinton agars with a 1×10^6 CFU/ml final concentration.

Agar diffusion method has been widely employed to assay antimicrobial activity. Disk-diffusion method is generally used for preliminary screening potential of antimicrobial efficacy against pathogens (15, 16). In the method, sterile 6 mm paper disks were saturated with 30 µl of probiotic suspension. Three kinds of disks were made: one for Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium spp., another for *Escherichia coli* strain Nissle 1917 (ECN), and the third was prepared through equally mixed probiotics (15µl of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium spp. and 15µl of ECN suspension). Disks were placed on the inoculated Muller Hinton agar surface at a distance of 3 cm (according to the CLSI

2017). The plates were incubated with a microaerophilic gas pack inside an anaerobiosis jar for 24 h at 37 °C. The inhibitory zone diameter of growth was reported according to the CLSI 2019.

RESULTS

The zone of inhibition (ZOI) of bacterial growth was measured. For the tested probiotics, all pathogenic microorganisms showed sensitivity to the single probiotic disks.

The diameter of the growth inhibition zones is reported in Table 1. Results of disk diffusion by probiotics showed that probiotics had appropriate effects against the growth inhibition of *E.coli* and *C. jejuni*. The combined disks had synergistic effects in the test, inhibiting these microorganisms more than single probiotics.

Table1- Results of disk diffusion probiotics

Probiotic disk	<i>E.coli</i> (ZOI)	<i>Campylobacter</i> <i>jejuni</i> (ZOI)
<i>Lactobacillus</i> and <i>Bifidibacterium</i>	10 mm	20 mm
<i>Escherichia coli</i> Nissle 1917	30 mm	20 mm
Combined probiotics	40 mm	35 mm

DISCUSSION

Probiotic bacteria offer a novel alternative for the treatment of diarrhea and eradication of bacteria involved in the pathogenesis of diarrhea. A paper diskdiffusion test was performed in order to evaluate the synergistic effect of Lactobacillus spp, Bifidobacterium spp. and Escherichia coli strain Nissle 1917 (ECN) on diarrheagenic E.coli and Campylobacter jejuni. Via this technique, combined probiotics presented a better performance in both cases. The production of organic acids by Lactobacillus spp. showed a potent inhibitory effect against gram-negative bacteria owing to the permeabilizing capacity of the bacterial outer membrane, hence considered as a main antimicrobial compound (17). Furthermore, Lactobacillus strains showed a pH-dependent inhibitory activity against Campylobacter jejuni (18). The growth of Campylobacter strains below pH 4.9 was restricted, and low pH killed this microorganism [19]. Intestinal colonization by pathogens is reduced by the inhibition of their ability to adhere to epithelial cells as an important prerequisite for their colonization. Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 (ECN) was able to adhere to human intestinal epithelial cells as a strong adherent and suppress the colonization of other pathogens (7). ECN formed a biofilm of non-pathogenic pathogenic microorganisms preventing from adhering to the cell surface; bacteria this potent colonizer was able to effectively

colonize the intestine of newborns within a few days as a beneficial microflora (20). The synergistic effect of probiotics has been investigated in some studies. Combination of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium SDD. resulted in a wider antimicrobial effect, superior induction of IL-10, and silenced proinflammatory cytokines (21). According to the obtained results, probiotic strains had a significant synergistic effect against pathogens, and their combination represented a better therapeutic effect. Nowadays, owing to the preventative and therapeutic effects of probiotics, investigating the antagonistic and synergistic effects of probiotic strains contributes to discovering a more useful mixture in dealing with different diseases (22, 23).

The results of this study showed that probiotics in the laboratory were able to inhibit E. coli and Campylobacter jejuni intestinal pathogens. It has also been proven that the simultaneous administration of several probiotics has better antimicrobial effect. Therefore. is it suggested that for immunocompromised patients and those who use broad-spectrum antibiotics or even healthy people, probiotics arecapable of periodically reconstructing the digestive probiotics. Therefore, they are recommended in the first two weeks of each season for the prevention of the infection and inoculation of pathogens in the body.

CONCLUSION

Today, the application of general probiotic microorganisms and bactericines and their replacement with antibiotic compounds have been considered. Because bacteria are not resistant to these antimicrobial peptides, bacteriosins are considered as a substitute for antibiotics. Therefore, given the urgent need of the current world to find effective methods for controlling bacterial pathogens, it is important to study bacteriosins or probiotics as alternative antibiotic candidates.

REFERENCES

1. Henker J, Laass M, Blokhin BM, Bolbot YK, Maydannik VG, Elze M, et al. *The probiotic Escherichia coli strain Nissle 1917 (EcN) stops acute diarrhea in infants and toddlers*. Eur J Pediatr. 2007; 166(4): 311-8.

2. Sanders ME, Guarner F, Guerrant R, Holt PR, Quigley EM, Sartor RB, et al. *An update on the use and investigation of probiotics in health and disease*. Gut. 2013; 62(5): 787-796.

3. Maltby R, Leatham-Jensen MP, Gibson T, Cohen PS, Conway T, et al. *Nutritional Basis for Colonization Resistance by Human Commensal Escherichia coli Strains HS and Nissle 1917 against E. coli O157: H7 in the Mouse Intestine*. PLOS ONE. 2013; 8(1): p. e53957.

4. Fayol-Messaoudi D1, Berger CN, Coconnier-Polter MH, Liévin-Le Moal V, Servin AL. *pH-, Lactic Acid-, and Non-Lactic Acid-Dependent Activities of Probiotic Lactobacilli against Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium.* Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2005; 71(10): 6008-6013.

5. Corr SC, Li Y, Riedel CU, O'Toole PW, Hill C, Gahan CG. Bacteriocin production as a mechanism for the antiinfective activity of Lactobacillus salivarius UCC118. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2007; 104(18): 7617-7621.

6. Jones SA, Gibson T, Maltby RC, Chowdhury FZ, Stewart V, Cohen PS, et al. *Anaerobic respiration of Escherichia coli in the mouse intestine*. Infect Immun. 2011; 79(10): 4218-26. doi: 10.1128/IAI.05395-11.

7. Boudeau J, Glasser AL, Julien S, Colombel JF, Darfeuille-Michaud A. Inhibitory effect of probiotic Escherichia coli strain Nissle 1917 on adhesion to and invasion of intestinal epithelial cells by adherentinvasive E. coli strains isolated from patients with Crohn's disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2003; 18(1): 45-56.

8. Corthésy B, Gaskins HR, Mercenier A. *Gaskins, and* A. Mercenier, Cross-Talk between Probiotic Bacteria and the Host Immune System. J Nutr. 2007; 137(3 Suppl 2): 781S-90S. doi: 10.1093/jn/137.3.781S.

9. Varankovich NV, Nickerson MT, Korber DR. Probiotic-based strategies for therapeutic and prophylactic use against multiple gastrointestinal diseases. Front Microbiol. 2015; 6: 685. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00685.

10. Kaakoush NO, Castaño-Rodríguez N, Mitchell HM, Man SM. *Global Epidemiology of Campylobacter Infection*. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015; 28(3): 687-720.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the Faculty of Medicine, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences and Systems Biology and Poisonings Institute and Applied Virology Research Center for their support and contribution to this study.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

11. Weintraub A. *Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli: epidemiology, virulence and detection.* J Med Microbiol. 2007; 56(Pt 1): 4-8.

12. Iijima Y, Oundo JO, Hibino T, Saidi SM, Hinenoya A, Osawa K, et al. *High Prevalence of Diarrheagenic <i>Escherichia coli</i> among Children with Diarrhea in Kenya*. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2017; 70(1): 80-83. doi: 10.7883/yoken.JJID.2016.064.

13. Benmessaoud, R.; Jroundi, I.; Nezha, M.; Moraleda, C.; Tligui, H.; Seffar, M.; Alvarez-Martínez, M.J.; Pons, M.J.; Chaacho, S.; Hayes, E.B.; et al. Aetiology, epidemiology and clinical characteristics of acute moderate-to-severe diarrhoea in children under 5 years of age hospitalized in a referral paediatric hospital in Rabat, Morocco. J. Med. Microbiol. 2015, 64, 84–92.

14. Amour C, Gratz J, Mduma E, Svensen E, Rogawski ET, McGrath M, et al. *Epidemiology and Impact of Campylobacter Infection in Children in 8 Low-Resource Settings: Results From the MAL-ED Study.* Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2016; 63(9): 1171-1179.

15. Silva MT, Simas SM, Batista TG, Cardarelli P, Tomassini TC, et al. *Studies on antimicrobial activity, in vitro, of Physalis angulata L. (Solanaceae) fraction and physalin B bringing out the importance of assay determination.* Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2005; 100(7): 779-82.

16. Nijs A, Cartuyvels R, Mewis A, Peeters V, Rummens JL, Magerman K. *Comparison and Evaluation of Osiris and Sirscan 2000 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Systems in the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory*. J Clin Microbiol. 2003; 41(8): 3627-30.

17. Alakomi HL, Skyttä E, Saarela M, Mattila-Sandholm T, Latva-Kala K, Helander IM. *Lactic Acid Permeabilizes Gram-Negative Bacteria by Disrupting the Outer Membrane*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2000; 66(5): 2001-5.

18. Bratz K, Gölz G, Janczyk P, Nöckler K, Alter T. *Analysis of in vitro and in vivo effects of probiotics against Campylobacter spp.* Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr. 2015; 128(3-4): 155-62.

19. Park SF. *The physiology of Campylobacter species and its relevance to their role as foodborne pathogens.* Int J Food Microbiol. 2002; 74(3): 177-88.

20. Lodinová-Zádniková R, Sonnenborn U. Effect of Preventive Administration of a Nonpathogenic <i>Escherichia coli</i> Strain on the Colonization of the Intestine with Microbial Pathogens in Newborn Infants. Biol Neonate. 1997; 71(4): 224-32. 21. Timmerman HM, Niers LE, Ridwan BU, Koning CJ, Mulder L, Akkermans LM, et al., *Design of a multispecies probiotic mixture to prevent infectious complications in critically ill patients*. Clinical Nutrition. 2007; 26(4): 450-459.

22. Field D, Baghou I, Rea MC, Gardiner GE, Ross RP, Hill C. *Nisin in Combination with Cinnamaldehyde and EDTA to Control Growth of Escherichia coli Strains of Swine Origin*. Antibiotics (Basel). 2017; 6(4). pii: E35. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics6040035.

23. Chi, H. and H. Holo (2017). "Synergistic Antimicrobial Activity Between the Broad Spectrum Bacteriocin Garvicin KS and Nisin, Farnesol and Polymyxin B Against Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacteria." Current microbiology: 2017. 1-6.